



$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}^+) \text{ Status: } ***$$

According to the quark model, the Ξ_c^0 (quark content dsc) and Ξ_c^+ form an isospin doublet, and the spin-parity ought to be $J^P = 1/2^+$. None of I , J , or P has actually been measured.

Ξ_c^0 MASS

The fit uses the Ξ_c^0 and Ξ_c^+ mass and mass-difference measurements.

VALUE (MeV)	EVT	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2470.88^{+0.34}_{-0.80} OUR FIT				Error includes scale factor of 1.1.
2471.09^{+0.35}_{-1.00} OUR AVERAGE				
2471.0 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.2	8620 \pm 355	¹ LESIAK	05 BELL	$e^+ e^-$, $\gamma(4S)$
2470.0 \pm 2.8 \pm 2.6	85	FRABETTI	98B E687	γ Be, $\bar{E}_\gamma = 220$ GeV
2469 \pm 2 \pm 3	9	HENDERSON	92B CLEO	$\Omega^- K^+$
2472.1 \pm 2.7 \pm 1.6	54	ALBRECHT	90F ARG	$e^+ e^-$ at $\gamma(4S)$
2473.3 \pm 1.9 \pm 1.2	4	BARLAG	90 ACCM	$\pi^- (K^-)$ Cu 230 GeV
2472 \pm 3 \pm 4	19	ALAM	89 CLEO	$e^+ e^-$ 10.6 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2462.1 \pm 3.1 \pm 1.4	42	² FRABETTI	93C E687	See FRABETTI 98B
2471 \pm 3 \pm 4	14	AVERY	89 CLEO	See ALAM 89

¹ The systematic error was (wrongly) given the other way round in LESIAK 05.

² The FRABETTI 93C mass is well below the other measurements.

$\Xi_c^0 - \Xi_c^+$ MASS DIFFERENCE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.1^{+0.4}_{-0.5} OUR FIT			
3.1\pm0.5 OUR AVERAGE			
+2.9 \pm 0.5	LESIAK	05 BELL	$e^+ e^-$, $\gamma(4S)$
+7.0 \pm 4.5 \pm 2.2	ALBRECHT	90F ARG	$e^+ e^-$ at $\gamma(4S)$
+6.8 \pm 3.3 \pm 0.5	BARLAG	90 ACCM	$\pi^- (K^-)$ Cu 230 GeV
+5 \pm 4 \pm 1	ALAM	89 CLEO	$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- \pi^+$, $\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Xi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$

Ξ_c^0 MEAN LIFE

VALUE (10^{-15} s)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
112$^{+13}_{-10}$ OUR AVERAGE				
118 $^{+14}_{-12} \pm 5$	110	LINK	02H FOCS	γ nucleus, ≈ 180 GeV
101 $^{+25}_{-17} \pm 5$	42	FRABETTI	93C E687	γ Be, $\bar{E}_\gamma = 220$ GeV
82 $^{+59}_{-30}$	4	BARLAG	90 ACCM	$\pi^- (K^-)$ Cu 230 GeV

Ξ_c^0 DECAY MODES

No absolute branching fractions have been measured. Several measurements of ratios of fractions may be found in the Listings that follow.

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 p K^- K^- \pi^+$	seen
$\Gamma_2 p K^- \bar{K}^*(892)^0$	seen
$\Gamma_3 p K^- K^- \pi^+ \text{ no } \bar{K}^*(892)^0$	seen
$\Gamma_4 \Lambda K_S^0$	seen
$\Gamma_5 \Lambda K^- \pi^+$	
$\Gamma_6 \Lambda \bar{K}^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$	seen
$\Gamma_7 \Lambda K^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$	seen
$\Gamma_8 \Xi^- \pi^+$	seen
$\Gamma_9 \Xi^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$	seen
$\Gamma_{10} \Omega^- K^+$	seen
$\Gamma_{11} \Xi^- e^+ \nu_e$	seen
$\Gamma_{12} \Xi^- \ell^+ \text{anything}$	seen

Ξ_c^0 BRANCHING RATIOS

$$\Gamma(pK^- K^- \pi^+)/\Gamma(\Xi^- \pi^+) \quad \Gamma_1/\Gamma_8$$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.34± 0.04 OUR AVERAGE				
0.33 $\pm 0.03 \pm 0.03$	1908 \pm 62	LESIAK	05 BELL	$e^+ e^-$, $\Upsilon(4S)$
0.35 $\pm 0.06 \pm 0.03$	148 \pm 18	DANKO	04 CLEO	$e^+ e^-$

$$\Gamma(pK^- \bar{K}^*(892)^0)/\Gamma(\Xi^- \pi^+) \quad \Gamma_2/\Gamma_8$$

Unseen decay modes of the $\bar{K}^*(892)^0$ are included.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.210$\pm 0.045 \pm 0.015$	DANKO	04 CLEO	$e^+ e^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
seen	BARLAG	90 ACCM	$\pi^- (K^-)$ Cu 230 GeV

$\Gamma(pK^- K^- \pi^+ \text{ no } \bar{K}^*(892)^0)/\Gamma(\Xi^- \pi^+)$				Γ_3/Γ_8
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.21±0.04±0.02		DANKO	04	CLEO $e^+ e^-$
$\Gamma(\Lambda K_S^0)/\Gamma(\Xi^- \pi^+)$				Γ_4/Γ_8
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.21±0.02±0.02	465 ± 37	LESIAK	05	BELL $e^+ e^-$, $\gamma(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
seen	7	ALBRECHT	95B	ARG $e^+ e^- \approx 10.4$ GeV
$\Gamma(\Lambda K^- \pi^+)/\Gamma(\Xi^- \pi^+)$				Γ_5/Γ_8
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1.07±0.12±0.07	2979 ± 211	LESIAK	05	BELL $e^+ e^-$, $\gamma(4S)$
$\Gamma(\Lambda \bar{K}^0 \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_6/Γ
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
seen		FRABETTI	98B	E687 γ Be, $\bar{E}_\gamma = 220$ GeV
$\Gamma(\Lambda K^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_7/Γ
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
seen		FRABETTI	98B	E687 γ Be, $\bar{E}_\gamma = 220$ GeV
$\Gamma(\Xi^- \pi^+)/\Gamma(\Xi^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)$				Γ_8/Γ_9
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.30±0.12±0.05		ALBRECHT	90F	ARG $e^+ e^-$ at $\gamma(4S)$
$\Gamma(\Omega^- K^+)/\Gamma(\Xi^- \pi^+)$				Γ_{10}/Γ_8
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.297±0.024 OUR AVERAGE		AUBERT,B	05M	BABR $e^+ e^- \approx \gamma(4S)$
0.294±0.018±0.016	650	HENDERSON	92B	CLEO $e^+ e^- \approx 10.6$ GeV
0.50 ± 0.21 ± 0.05	9			
$\Gamma(\Xi^- e^+ \nu_e)/\Gamma(\Xi^- \pi^+)$				Γ_{11}/Γ_8
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
3.1±1.0^{+0.3}_{-0.5}	54	ALEXANDER	95B	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \approx \gamma(4S)$
$\Gamma(\Xi^- \ell^+ \text{ anything})/\Gamma(\Xi^- \pi^+)$				Γ_{12}/Γ_8
The ratio is for the average (not the sum) of the $\Xi^- e^+$ anything and $\Xi^- \mu^+$ anything modes.				
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.96±0.43±0.18	18	ALBRECHT	93B	ARG $e^+ e^- \approx 10.4$ GeV
$\Gamma(\Xi^- \ell^+ \text{ anything})/\Gamma(\Xi^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)$				Γ_{12}/Γ_9
The ratio is for the average (not the sum) of the $\Xi^- e^+$ anything and $\Xi^- \mu^+$ anything modes.				
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.29±0.12±0.04	18	ALBRECHT	93B	ARG $e^+ e^- \approx 10.4$ GeV

Ξ_c^0 DECAY PARAMETERS

See the note on “Baryon Decay Parameters” in the neutron Listings.

α FOR $\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- \pi^+$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.56±0.39^{+0.10}_{-0.09}	138	CHAN	01	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \approx \gamma(4S)$

Ξ_c^0 REFERENCES

AUBERT,B	05M	PRL 95 142003	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
LESIAK	05	PL B605 237	T. Lesiak <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
Also		PL B617 198 (erratum)	T. Lesiak <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
DANKO	04	PR D69 052004	I. Danko <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
LINK	02H	PL B541 211	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
CHAN	01	PR D63 111102R	S. Chan <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
FRAZETTI	98B	PL B426 403	P.L. Frabetti <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E687 Collab.)
ALBRECHT	95B	PL B342 397	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALEXANDER	95B	PRL 74 3113	J. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
Also		PRL 75 4155 (erratum)	J. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ALBRECHT	93B	PL B303 368	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
FRAZETTI	93C	PRL 70 2058	P.L. Frabetti <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E687 Collab.)
HENDERSON	92B	PL B283 161	S. Henderson <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ALBRECHT	90F	PL B247 121	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
BARLAG	90	PL B236 495	S. Barlag <i>et al.</i>	(ACCMOR Collab.)
ALAM	89	PL B226 401	M.S. Alam <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AVERY	89	PRL 62 863	P. Avery <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
